

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Troy Bourgeois

Application No.: 10/708,526
Filed: March 10, 2004
Group Art Unit: 2617
Examiner: Willie J. Daniel, Jr.

Confirmation No. 2525

Title: AUTOMATIC CONFERENCE CALL REPLAY

Commissioner for Patents
PO Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Sir:

In response to the restriction requirement under 35 U.S.C. 121 dated June 28, 2007, the Applicant elects to initially pursue and have examined claims 1-3 with traverse.

Applicant submits that Inventions I and II as defined in the restriction requirement are related. The limitations in each set of claims are similar. Both sets of claims are related to mobile telephone devices, mobile telephone networks, initiating conference calls, recording conference calls, and making the conference call available to non-participants of the conference call. Accordingly, there would be no burden on the Examiner to search the limitations in both sets of claims.

Applicant respectfully requests that this restriction requirement be withdrawn and that all claims 1-6 be examined.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: July 18, 2007

By:



Frederick D. Bailey
Registration No. 42,282
Moore & Van Allen
430 Davis Dr.
P.O. Box 13706
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709
Telephone: (919) 286-8000
Facsimile: (919) 286-8199